UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/922,473	08/03/2001	Alex Urich	CTREE-72853(155696033-P0	1 7208	
. 24201 FULWIDER PA	7590 04/17/2007 ATTON LLP		EXAMINER		
HOWARD HU	GHES CENTER		BOUCHELLI	BOUCHELLE, LAURA A	
6060 CENTER LOS ANGELE	DRIVE, TENTH FLOOR S. CA 90045		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		3763		
SHORTENED STATUTOR	Y PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
3 MONTHS		04/17/2007	DADED		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

		\mathcal{M}
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
·	09/922,473	URICH, ALEX
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Laura A. Bouchelle	3763
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REWHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by some any reply received by the Office later than three months after the rearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	G DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC R 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re n. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON statute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2	22 January 2007.	
· — · ·	This action is non-final.	:
3) Since this application is in condition for all	owance except for formal matte	ers, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice und	der <i>Ex parte Quayl</i> e, 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,16,18-22,24 and 25</u> is/are p	pending in the application	
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	·	
6) Claim(s) <u>1,3-5,16, 18-22,24,25</u> is/are reject	cted.	
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.		
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.	
Application Depart		
Application Papers		u
9) The specification is objected to by the Exa		by the Eveniner
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)		
Applicant may not request that any objection to		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co		
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner. Note the attached	Office Action of John F10-132.
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for	reign priority under 35 U.S.C. §	3 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:		
1. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority docur	ments have been received in A	pplication No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the	priority documents have been	received in this National Stage
application from the International Bu	ureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a	a list of the certified copies not	received.
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview S	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94	8) Paper No(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) L Notice of I	nformal Patent Application

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 7/25/06.

6) Other: ____.

Art Unit: 3763

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, 4, 5, 16, 18, 19 20, 21, 22, 24, 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Easley (US 6599271) in view of Maaskamp (US 6149633) in further view of Ureche et al (US5167620). Easley discloses a device for preventing post occlusion flow surges during eye surgery comprising a tube, a filter housing coupled to the tube, a flow restrictor, and a filter (Abstract). Easley fails to explicitly disclose a flow restrictor with a diameter between 0.1 and 1.0 millimeter. Maaskamp teaches a flow control system for endoscopic surgeries comprising a flow restrictor attached by a luer coupling having a fixed internal diameter of about 1.5 mm or narrower or wider depending on the requirements of the device to maintain constant pressure in the system (Col. 4, lines 30-35). Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Easley to be of the size specified by Maaskamp so that it can maintain optimum pressure characteristics.
- 3. Claims 1, 5, 16, 20 further differ from the teachings of Easley in view of Maaskamp in calling for the tube to have an inner diameter of between 1.5 and 2.5 mm. Ureche teaches an eye surgery device having a supply and aspiration lines that have an inside diameter of about 2 mm

Art Unit: 3763

to provide optimal delivery and aspiration characteristics for eye surgery. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to modify the device of Easley in view of Maaskamp to have tubes with internal diameters of about 2 mm as taught by Ureche to provide optimal delivery and aspiration characteristics for eye surgery.

Response to Arguments

- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 1/22/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 5. Applicant argues that there is no motivation to combine the teachings of Maaskamp with Easley. In response to applicant's argument that there is no suggestion to combine the references, the examiner recognizes that obviousness can only be established by combining or modifying the teachings of the prior art to produce the claimed invention where there is some teaching, suggestion, or motivation to do so found either in the references themselves or in the knowledge generally available to one of ordinary skill in the art. See *In re Fine*, 837 F.2d 1071, 5 USPQ2d 1596 (Fed. Cir. 1988)and *In re Jones*, 958 F.2d 347, 21 USPQ2d 1941 (Fed. Cir. 1992). In this case, the Maaskamp reference teaches that the flow restrictor has a specific diameter that can be adjusted to meet the needs of the particular system in which it is being used.

Art Unit: 3763

Conclusion

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laura A. Bouchelle whose telephone number is 571-272-2125. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8-4.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nicholas Lucchesi can be reached on 517-272-4977. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3763

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Laura A Bouchelle Examiner Art Unit 3763

NICHOLAS D. LUCCHESI

TECHNO! OGY CENTER 3700